	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Notice of Allowability	09/467,018 Examiner	LUNDBACK ET AL. Art Unit
•	•	
	Prieto Beatriz	2142
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeal All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIOF of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this ap or other appropriate communication IGHTS. This application is subject t	plication. If not included n will be mailed in due course. THIS
1. This communication is responsive to <u>1/31/05 (AF-Amendment)</u> .		
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>2-7, 9-13 and 15-22</u> .		
3. The drawings filed on <u>27 August 2004</u> are accepted by the Examiner.		
 4. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)		
2. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. <u>PCT/IB98/0</u> 2090		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this national stage application from the		
International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* Certified copies not received:		
Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" of this communication to file a reply complying with the requirements noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONMENT of this application. THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.		
5. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submitted. Note the attached EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which gives reason(s) why the oath or declaration is deficient.		
6. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") must be submitted.		
(a) ☐ including changes required by the Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) attached		
1) 🗌 hereto or 2) 🗍 to Paper No./Mail Date		
(b) ☐ including changes required by the attached Examiner's Amendment / Comment or in the Office action of Paper No./Mail Date		
Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1.84(c)) should be written on the drawings in the front (not the back) of each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the header according to 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
7. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposit of BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL must be submitted. Note the attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT FOR THE DEPOSIT OF BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL.		
Attachment(s) 1. ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	5 Notice of Informal F	Patent Application (PTO-152)
2. ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	6. ☐ Interview Summary	(PTO-413),
3. Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No./Mail Date	Paper No./Mail Dá 8), 7. ⊠ Examiner's Amend	ne ment/Comment
4. Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit	8. ⊠ Examiner's Stateme	ent of Reasons for Allowance
of Biological Material	9.	
		feating Inch Papent (xaminer

Application/Control Number: 09/467,018 (HANSSON et. al.) Art Unit: 2142

Examiner's Amendment

- 1. An Examiner's Amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes or additions be unacceptable to Applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 C.F.R. § 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the Issue Fee.
- 2. Pursuant to M.P.E.P. §606.01, this title has respectfully been changed to read as follows:

-- SINGLE IP-ADDRESSING FOR A TELECOMMUNICATIONS PLATFORM WITH A MULTI-PROCESSOR CLUSTER USING A DISTRIBUTED SOCKET BASED INTERNET PROTOCOL (IP) HANDLER --

Reason for allowance

3. The following is the Examiner's statement of Reason for Allowance. This statement is not intended to necessarily state all the reasons for allowance or all the details why claims are allowed, nor it specifically or impliedly state that all the reasons for allowance are set forth. The primary, or important reason for allowance of the claims is the inclusion of the limitation(s) in all the claims, which is not found in the prior art references. Applicant's disclosure must be relied on to properly determine the meaning of term, clauses, and/or limitations in the claims. Where an explicit definition is provided by the applicant for a term, that definition will control interpretation of the term as it is used in the claim. Toro Co. v. White Consolidated Industries Inc., 199 F.3d 1295, 1301, 53 USPQ2d 1065, 1069 (Fed. Cir. 1999) (see MPEP 2106)

In this case, the prior art of record teaches the claimed invention substantially as claimed, however fails to teach or suggest individually or in combination the following subject matter as a whole comprising: using a cluster of processors to perform collectively a platform (e.g. Fig. 3a) processing function, the plural processors of the cluster each executing an IP software application, each having, the same IP address and each having a respective IP interface (i.e. Ethernet interfaces as particularly defined on page 11, lines 27-28); distributing an IP handling function (e.g. as generally shown on fig. 2) throughout the cluster with IP for forwarding IP frames received from outside the platform on any of the plural IP interfaces executing an IP software applications; passing IP frames incoming to the platform to a router regardless of which of the plural IP interfaces receives the frame, the router being hosted by one of the plural processors of the clusters; using the router to route the IP frames to an active socket central part; wherein determining and forwarding (of claim 9 or interface interconnect of claims 21-22) associated with the active socket central part platform incoming IP to one particular socket distributed

part hosted on one particular processor of the plural processors of the cluster, wherein the forwarding to a "correct one" of the plural processors executing an IP software application all having the same IP address (i.e. based on a correlation between each processor and IP interface "socket", specs page 11, lines 1-9, and the IP interface on each processor is connected to the appropriate one of the distributed interface

- interconnect parts, specs page 12, lines 3-11), set forth in substance on independent claims 9 and 21-22.
- 4. Double patent analysis has been performed with respect to *issued patents* having common relationship of inventorship and/or ownership with respect to the above-allowed claims, *none* are found to warrant a double patenting rejection.
- 5. Any comments Applicants considers necessary must be submitted no later than the payment of the Issue Fee and to avoid processing delays, should preferable accompany the Issue Fees. Such submission should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance". In event of any post-allowance papers (e.g. IDS, 312 amendment, petition, etc.), Applicant is exhorted to mail papers to the Production Control branch in Publications or faxed to post-allowance papers correspondence branch at (703) 308-5864 to expedite issuing process or call PUB's Customer Service if any questions at (703) 305-8497.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to B. Prieto whose telephone number is (571) 272-3902. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 6:30 to 4:00 p.m. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's Supervisor, Jack B. Harvey can be reached on (571) 272-3896. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3800/4700.
- 7. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained fro the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system, status information for published application may be obtained from either Private or Public PAIR, for unpublished application Private PAIR only (see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov or the Electronic Business Center at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 2142

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Box Issue Fee Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

or faxed to:

(703) 746-4000, (Issue Fee and any Publication fee/payments)

Or:

(703) 305-8283 (for checking on receipt of payment w/Publication)

B. Prieto

Patent Examiner

pety Picks